

UPDATE VAN SR FILTERS VOOR RICHTLIJNSEARCHES

TEN BEHOEVE VAN KENNISINSTITUUT FEDERATIE VAN MEDISCH SPECIALISTEN

BMI-Werkgroep Kwaliteit en Richtlijnen
Subwerkgroep: Hans Ket, Marijke Mol, Linda Niesink

18 oktober 2018

Inleiding

- Waarom doen we dit?
 - Huidige KIFMS SR filter is gebaseerd op het SR filter van SIGN, en zou geoptimaliseerd kunnen worden. Ook met het oog op het ontstaan van nieuwe soorten reviews.
 - Het KIFMS SR filter is geschreven voor Medline Ovid, Embase.com en Cinahl. Bij de voorgenomen decentralisatie van richtlijnsearches is ook een PubMed versie gewenst, omdat niet iedereen over een Medline Ovid versie beschikt

Methode

- Wat is de aanpak?
 - Inventarisatie van bestaande filters voor SRs, en het liefst die voor gebruik bij richtlijnontwikkeling
 - SIGN SR filter als uitgangspunt gekozen (ontworpen voor richtlijnen)
 - NICE SR filter van een recente richtlijn als eerste aanvulling daarop
- Met deze twee filters een basissearch voor PubMed gemaakt. Tijdens het samenstellen getest met 1 onderwerpssearch.
- De basissearch stap voor stap uitgebreid met nieuwe termen die gevonden werden in andere SR filters of door het screenen van titels en abstracts van SRs op specifieke termen. Getest op 4 onderwerpssearches.

Methode

Lijst van geraadpleegde sites/organisaties met SR filters

SIGN	Diverse methodologische filters voor diverse databanken
NICE	Doet in handboek geen uitspraak over welke filters te gebruiken
CRD/ISSG	Op de site staan <i>verwijzingen</i> naar filters en publicaties daarover
Cochrane	Verwijst naar SIGN en CRD/ISSG
CADTH	Canadees beleidsorgaan. Heeft diverse methodologische filters
Grote universiteiten	Zoals Texas, Duke, Alberta
BMJ Clinical Evidence/Best Practice	In-house informatiespecialisten hebben diverse study design filters gemaakt

Methode

SIGN SR filter

- 1. Meta-Analysis as Topic/
- 2. meta analy\$.tw.
- 3. metaanaly\$.tw.
- 4. Meta-Analysis/
- 5. (systematic adj (review\$1 or overview\$1)).tw.
- 6. exp Review Literature as Topic/
- 7. or/1-6
- 8. cochrane.ab.
- 9. embase.ab.
- 10. (psychlit or psyclit).ab.
- 11. (psychinfo or psycinfo).ab.
- 12. (cinahl or cinhal).ab.
- 13. science citation index.ab.
- 14. bids.ab.
- 15. cancerlit.ab.
- 16. or/8-15
- 17. reference list\$.ab.
- 18. bibliograph\$.ab.
- 19. hand-search\$.ab.
- 20. relevant journals.ab.
- 21. manual search\$.ab.
- 22. or/17-21
- 23. selection criteria.ab.
- 24. data extraction.ab.
- 25. 23 or 24
- 26. Review/
- 27. 25 and 26
- 28. Comment/
- 29. Letter/
- 30. Editorial/
- 31. animal/
- 32. human/
- 33. 31 not (31 and 32)
- 34. or/28-30,33
- 35. 7 or 16 or 22 or 27
- 36. 35 not 34

Methode

Uit NICE guideline Age related macular degeneration, januari 2018

- 1. Meta-Analysis.pt.
- 2. Meta-Analysis as Topic/
- 3. Review.pt.
- 4. exp Review Literature as Topic/
- 5. (metaanaly\$ or metanaly\$ or (meta adj3 analy\$)).tw.
- 6. (review\$ or overview\$).ti.
- 7. (systematic\$ adj5 (review\$ or overview\$)).tw.
- 8. ((quantitative\$ or qualitative\$) adj5 (review\$ or overview\$)).tw.
- 9. ((studies or trial\$) adj2 (review\$ or overview\$)).tw.
- 10. (integrat\$ adj3 (research or review\$ or literature)).tw.
- 11. (pool\$ adj2 (analy\$ or data)).tw.
- 12. (handsearch\$ or (hand adj3 search\$)).tw.
- 13. (manual\$ adj3 search\$).tw.
- 14. or/1-13
- 15. animals/not humans/
- 16 14 not 15

Methode

Synthese van search voor SR in PubMed op basis van SIGN en NICE; versie 1.0

- ("Meta-Analysis as Topic"[Mesh] OR "Meta-Analysis" [Publication Type] OR metaanaly*[tiab] OR metanaly*[tiab] OR meta-analy*[tiab])
- OR (systematic*[ti] AND (review*[ti] OR overview*[ti])) OR systematic review*[tiab] OR systematic overview*[tiab]
- OR "Review Literature as Topic"[Mesh]
- OR cochrane[tiab] OR embase[tiab] OR psyclit[tiab] OR psychlit[tiab] OR psycinfo[tiab] OR psychinfo[tiab] OR cinahl[tiab] OR cinhal[tiab] OR science citation index[tiab] OR bids[tiab] OR cancerlit[tiab]
- OR reference list*[tiab] OR bibliograph*[tiab] OR hand-search*[tiab] OR handsearch*[tiab] OR manual search[tiab] OR relevant journals[tiab])
- NOT ("Editorial" [Publication Type] OR "Comment" [Publication Type] OR "Letter" [Publication Type]) NOT (animals[mh] NOT humans[mh])

Methode

- Versie 1.0 getest op Tinnitus[mh] OR tinnitus[tiab]: N=263
- Eerste evaluatie:
 - Opbouw van het basisblok is overzichtelijk: termen voor 1) meta-analyse, 2) systematic review, 3) databanken, 4) overig SR methodiek. Deze regels uitbreiden
 - Review[pt](NICE) is te breed , en beperkt met (selection criteria[tiab] OR data extraction[tiab])(SIGN) te smal
 - Ontbreken van PubMed en Medline in de databanken set. Andere databanken toevoegen, sommige weglaten?
 - Moderne vormen van systematic review meenemen. Daaruit voortvloeiend: welke?
 - Gaan we voor specificiteit of sensitiviteit?
 - Omzeilen van gemis om in PubMed met adj te zoeken. AND is vaak te breed. Termen uitschrijven als frases
 - Valt *case report and review of the literature* buiten de SR?

Methode

- Stapsgewijze uitbreiding van de search versie 1.0
- Nog drie andere onderwerpssearches bij de test betrokken
 - "Parkinson Disease"[Mesh] OR parkinson*[tiab]
 - "Down Syndrome"[Mesh]
 - "Pulmonary Disease, Chronic Obstructive"[Mesh]

Methode

termen	Ja/nee	motivatie
Pubmed[tiab] OR medline[tiab]	ja	Met alleen medline of pubmed kan na een goede search een goede SR van een aantal studies zijn uitgevoerd. Het gebruik van twee of meer databanken is geen garantie op volledigheid en goede search
(systematic[ti] OR scoping[ti]) AND (review*[ti] OR overview*[ti])	ja	Er van uit gaande dat een scoping review gevonden moet worden
Literature[ti] AND (review*[ti] OR overview*[ti])	nee	Te veel ruis. Gaan combineren met andere SR specifieke termen., zie volgende regel
Literature[ti] AND (review*[ti] OR overview*[ti]) <i>AND (search*[tiab] OR systematic*[tiab])</i>	ja	

Methode

Searches 1.0 en 1.1 getest op tinnitus; grote, en waardevolle, toename.

Search	Query	Items found
#13	Search (#12 NOT #10)	65
#12	Search (#11 AND #9)	329
#11	Search (((("Meta-Analysis as Topic"[Mesh] OR "Meta-Analysis" [Publication Type] OR metaanaly*[tiab] OR metanaly*[tiab] OR meta-analy*[tiab] OR ((systematic*[ti] OR scoping[ti]) AND (review*[ti] OR overview*[ti])) OR systematic review*[tiab] OR systematic overview*[tiab] OR "Review Literature as Topic"[Mesh] OR (Literature[ti] AND (review*[ti] OR overview*[ti]) AND (search*[tiab] OR systematic*[tiab])) OR pubmed[tiab] OR medline[tiab] OR cochrane[tiab] OR embase[tiab] OR psyclit[tiab] OR psychlit[tiab] OR psycinfo[tiab] OR psychinfo[tiab] OR cinahl[tiab] OR cinhal[tiab] OR science citation index[tiab] OR bids[tiab] OR cancerlit[tiab] OR reference list*[tiab] OR bibliograph*[tiab] OR hand-search*[tiab] OR handsearch*[tiab] OR manual search[tiab] OR relevant journals[tiab]) NOT ("Editorial" [Publication Type] OR "Comment" [Publication Type] OR "Letter" [Publication Type]) NOT (animals[mh] NOT humans[mh])))	327199
#10	Search (#8 AND #9)	264
#9	Search tinnitus[mh] OR tinnitus[tiab]	11905
#8	Search ("Meta-Analysis as Topic"[Mesh] OR "Meta-Analysis" [Publication Type] OR metaanaly*[tiab] OR metanaly*[tiab] OR meta-analy*[tiab] OR (systematic*[ti] AND (review*[ti] OR overview*[ti])) OR systematic review*[tiab] OR systematic overview*[tiab] OR "Review Literature as Topic"[Mesh] OR cochrane[tiab] OR embase[tiab] OR psyclit[tiab] OR psychlit[tiab] OR psycinfo[tiab] OR psychinfo[tiab] OR cinahl[tiab] OR cinhal[tiab] OR science citation index[tiab] OR bids[tiab] OR cancerlit[tiab] OR reference list*[tiab] OR bibliograph*[tiab] OR hand-search*[tiab] OR handsearch*[tiab] OR manual search[tiab] OR relevant journals[tiab]) NOT ("Editorial" [Publication Type] OR "Comment" [Publication Type] OR "Letter" [Publication Type]) NOT (animals[mh] NOT humans[mh]))	281858

Methode

Searches 1.0 en 1.1 getest op andere onderwerpen; waardevolle toename

Search	Query	Items found
#31	Search (#11 AND #25)	1515
#30	Search (#8 AND #25)	1393
#29	Search (#11 AND #24)	232
#28	Search (#8 AND #24)	216
#27	Search (#11 AND #23)	2280
#26	Search (#8 AND #23)	1919
#25	Search "Pulmonary Disease, Chronic Obstructive"[Mesh]	49551
#24	Search "Down Syndrome"[Mesh]	23226
#23	Search ("Parkinson Disease"[Mesh] OR parkinson*[tiab])	111222

Methode

termen	Ja/nee	motivatie
Evidence[ti] AND synthes*[ti]	ja	
(Literature[ti] AND (review*[ti] OR overview*[ti]) AND (search*[tiab] OR systematic*[tiab] OR <i>scoping[tiab]</i>))	ja	
Web of science[tiab] OR isi web [tiab] OR google scholar[tiab] OR electronic database*[tiab] OR electronic data-base*[tiab]	ja	
Umbrella[ti] AND review*[ti]	ja	
(Qualitative[ti] OR quantitative[ti] OR integrat*[ti] OR mixed method*[ti] OR mixed stud*[ti]) AND (review*[ti] OR overview[ti]) AND (search*[tiab] OR systematic*[tiab])	ja	
Bids[tiab]	nee	ongebruikelijk
"Cochrane Database Syst Rev"[Journal]	nee	Niets extra
Pooled analysis[tiab] OR pooled data[tiab]	nee	Te algemeen
"Review Literature as Topic"[Mesh]	nee	gaat <u>over</u> SRs, methodologie, protocol

Method

Versie 1.1

("Meta-Analysis as Topic"[Mesh] OR "Meta-Analysis" [Publication Type] OR metaanaly*[tiab] OR metanaly*[tiab] OR meta-analy*[tiab])

OR ((systematic*[ti] OR scoping[ti] OR umbrella[ti]) AND (review*[ti] OR overview*[ti])) OR systematic review*[tiab] OR systematic overview*[tiab]

OR ((Literature[ti] OR qualitative[ti] OR quantitative[ti] OR integrat*[ti] OR mixed stud*[ti] OR mixed method*[ti]) AND (review*[ti] OR overview*[ti]) AND (search*[tiab] OR systematic*[tiab] OR scoping[tiab]))

OR (evidence[ti] AND synthesis[ti])

OR pubmed[tiab] OR medline[tiab] OR cochrane[tiab] OR embase[tiab] OR psyclit[tiab] OR psychlit[tiab] OR psycinfo[tiab] OR psychinfo[tiab] OR cinahl[tiab] OR cinhal[tiab] OR science citation index[tiab] OR cancerlit[tiab] OR Web of science[tiab] OR electronic database*[tiab] OR electronic data-base*[tiab] OR isi web[tiab] OR google scholar[tiab] OR Literature search*[tiab] OR electronic search*[tiab]

OR reference list*[tiab] OR bibliograph*[tiab] OR hand-search*[tiab] OR handsearch*[tiab] OR manual search[tiab] OR relevant journals[tiab])

**NOT ("Editorial" [Publication Type] OR "Comment" [Publication Type] OR "Letter" [Publication Type])
NOT (animals[mh] NOT humans[mh])**

Methode

Test met de onderwerpen, verschillen zijn relatief klein

Search	Query	Items found	WAS:
#40	Search (#36 AND #25)	1534	1515
#39	Search (#36 AND #24)	236	232
#38	Search (#36 AND #23)	2361	2280
#37	Search (#36 AND #9)	338	329
#36	Search ("Meta-Analysis as Topic"[Mesh] OR "Meta-Analysis" [Publication Type] OR metaanaly*[tiab] OR metanaly*[tiab] OR meta-analy*[tiab] OR ((systematic*[ti] OR scoping[ti] OR umbrella[ti]) AND (review*[ti] OR overview*[ti])) OR systematic review*[tiab] OR systematic overview*[tiab] OR ((Literature[ti] OR qualitative[ti] OR quantitative[ti] OR integrat*[ti] OR mixed stud*[ti] OR mixed method*[ti]) AND (review*[ti] OR overview*[ti]) AND (search*[tiab] OR systematic*[tiab] OR scoping[tiab])) OR (evidence[ti] AND synthesis[ti]) OR pubmed[tiab] OR medline[tiab] OR cochrane[tiab] OR embase[tiab] OR psyclit[tiab] OR psychlit[tiab] OR psycinfo[tiab] OR psychinfo[tiab] OR cinahl[tiab] OR cinhal[tiab] OR science citation index[tiab] OR cancerlit[tiab] OR Web of science[tiab] OR electronic database*[tiab] OR electronic data-base*[tiab] OR isi web[tiab] OR google scholar[tiab] OR Literature search*[tiab] OR electronic search*[tiab] OR reference list*[tiab] OR bibliograph*[tiab] OR hand-search*[tiab] OR handsearch*[tiab] OR manual search[tiab] OR relevant journals[tiab]) NOT ("Editorial" [Publication Type] OR "Comment" [Publication Type] OR "Letter" [Publication Type]) NOT (animals[mh] NOT humans[mh])	338344	

Methode

Lijst met termen die misschien nog relevant zijn. Veelal kleine aantallen, zeker na aftrek van de nieuwste versie van het filter.

termen	N=	NOT # 36, N=	Ja /nee/?	motivatie
Mapping review[tiab]	74	16	ja	
Systematic map*[tiab]	355	285	?	Hier zit een enkele klinische studie bij
Evidence syntheses*[tiab]	3554	541	ja	Neemt ook het kopje 'evidence synthesis' uit een abstract mee
Systemic review[tiab]	1147	275	ja	In principe een SR
Systematized review*[tiab]	41	20	ja	In principe een SR
Short review[ti]	1168	1131	nee	evt in combi met specifieke SR termen
Rapid review[ti]	184	79	nee	evt in combi
Meta review[ti]	99	7	nee	
Critical[ti] AND review[ti]	9849	7884	ja	in combi
Focused[ti] AND review[ti]	584	396	ja	in combi

Methode

Lijst met termen die misschien nog relevant zijn. Veelal kleine aantallen, zeker na aftrek van de nieuwste versie van het filter.

termen	N=	NOT # 36, N=	Ja /nee/?	motivatie
Comparitive review[ti]	567	509	ja	In combi
Comprehensive review[ti]	3149	2512	ja	In combi
Structured review[ti]	219	90	ja	in combi
Meta synthes*[tiab]	728	89	ja	
Metasynthes*[tiab]	290	60	ja	
Meta ethnograph*[ti]	420	63	ja	
Metaethnograph*[tiab]	24	4	ja	
Meta regression[tiab]	6109	404	nee	Niet specifiek voor SR
Metaregression[tiab]	557	38	nee	Niet specifiek voor SR
Mixed studies review*[tiab]	57	5	nee	

Resultaat

Versie PubMed SR filter 2.0

- **("Meta-Analysis"[Publication Type] OR metaanaly*[tiab] OR metanaly*[tiab] OR meta-analy*[tiab])**
- **OR ((systematic*[ti] OR scoping[ti] OR umbrella[ti] OR mapping[ti]) AND (review*[ti] OR overview*[ti]))**
- **OR systematic review*[tiab] OR systematic overview*[tiab] OR systematized review*[tiab] OR systemic review[tiab] OR scoping review*[tiab] OR umbrella review*[tiab] OR mapping review*[tiab] OR systematic mapp*[tiab]**
- **OR (Literature[ti] AND (review*[ti] OR overview*[ti]) AND (search*[tiab] OR systematic*[tiab] OR scoping[tiab] OR apprais*[tiab]))**
- **OR (((Qualitative[ti] OR quantitative[ti] OR integrat*[ti] OR rapid[ti] OR short[ti] OR critical[ti] OR mixed stud*[ti] OR mixed method*[ti] OR focused[ti] OR structured[ti] OR comparative[ti] OR evidence[ti] OR comprehensive[ti]) AND (review*[ti] OR overview*[ti]) AND (search*[tiab] OR systematic*[tiab] OR scoping[tiab] OR apprais*[tiab] OR literature review[tiab]))**
- **OR (evidence[ti] AND synthesis[ti]) OR evidence synthesis[tiab]**

Resultaat

Versie PubMed SR filter 2.0, vervolg

- **OR pubmed[tiab] OR medline[tiab] OR cochrane[tiab] OR embase[tiab] OR psyclit[tiab] OR psychlit[tiab] OR psycinfo[tiab] OR psychinfo[tiab] OR cinahl[tiab] OR cinhal[tiab] OR science citation index[tiab] OR cancerlit[tiab] OR Web of science[tiab] OR isi web[tiab] OR google scholar[tiab]**
- **OR electronic database*[tiab] OR electronic data-base*[tiab] OR electronic search*[tiab] OR reference list*[tiab] OR bibliograph*[tiab] OR hand-search*[tiab] OR handsearch*[tiab] OR manual search[tiab] OR relevant journals[tiab]**
- **OR meta-synthe*[tiab] OR metasynthe*[tiab] OR meta-ethnograph*[tiab] OR metaethnograph*[tiab])**
- **NOT ("Editorial" [Publication Type] OR "Comment" [Publication Type] OR "Letter" [Publication Type])**
- **NOT (animals[mh] NOT humans[mh])**

Resultaat

Search	Query	Items found
#126	Search (#120 AND #122)	332
#125	Search (#120 AND #25)	1496
#124	Search (#120 AND #24)	225
#123	Search (#120 AND #121)	2276
#122	Search (tinnitus[mh] OR tinnitus[tiab])	11905
#25	Search "Pulmonary Disease, Chronic Obstructive"[Mesh]	49551
#24	Search "Down Syndrome"[Mesh]	23226
#121	Search (("Parkinson Disease"[Mesh] OR parkinson*[tiab]))	111222
#120	Search ("Meta-Analysis"[Publication Type] OR metaanaly*[tiab] OR metanaly*[tiab] OR meta-analy*[tiab] OR ((systematic*[ti] OR scoping[ti] OR umbrella[ti]) AND (review*[ti] OR overview*[ti])) OR systematic review*[tiab] OR systematic overview*[tiab] OR systematized review*[tiab] OR systemic review[tiab] OR mapping review*[tiab] OR systematic mapp*[tiab] OR ((Literature[ti] AND (review*[ti] OR overview*[ti]) AND (search*[tiab] OR systematic*[tiab] OR scoping[tiab] OR apprais*[tiab])) OR ((Qualitative[ti] OR quantitative[ti] OR integrat*[ti] OR rapid[ti] OR short[ti] OR critical[ti] OR mixed stud*[ti] OR mixed method*[ti] OR focused[ti] OR structured[ti] OR comparative[ti] OR evidence[ti] OR comprehensive[ti]) AND (review*[ti] OR overview*[ti]) AND (search*[tiab] OR systematic*[tiab] OR scoping[tiab] OR apprais*[tiab] OR literature review[tiab])) OR (evidence[ti] AND synthesis[ti]) OR evidence synthesis[tiab] OR pubmed[tiab] OR medline[tiab] OR cochrane[tiab] OR embase[tiab] OR psyclit[tiab] OR psychlit[tiab] OR psycinfo[tiab] OR psychinfo[tiab] OR cinahl[tiab] OR cinhal[tiab] OR science citation index[tiab] OR cancerlit[tiab] OR Web of science[tiab] OR electronic database*[tiab] OR electronic data-base*[tiab] OR isi web[tiab] OR google scholar[tiab] OR electronic search*[tiab] OR reference list*[tiab] OR bibliograph*[tiab] OR hand-search*[tiab] OR handsearch*[tiab] OR manual search[tiab] OR relevant journals[tiab] OR meta-synthesis[tiab] OR metasynthesis[tiab] OR meta-ethnograph*[tiab] OR metaethnograph*[tiab]) NOT ("Editorial" [Publication Type] OR "Comment" [Publication Type] OR "Letter" [Publication Type])) NOT (animals[mh] NOT humans[mh])	328111

Discussie

Vergelijking met het huidige SR filter voor Medline van het KIFMS

- (meta-analysis/ or meta-analysis as topic/ or (meta adj analy\$).tw.
- or ((systematic* or literature) adj2 review\$1).tw. or (systematic adj overview\$1).tw.
- or exp "Review Literature as Topic"/
- or cochrane.ab. or cochrane.jw. or embase.ab. or medline.ab. or (psychlit or psyclit).ab. or (cinahl or cinhal).ab. or cancerlit.ab.
- or ((selection criteria or data extraction).ab. and "review"/)
- not (Comment/ or Editorial/ or Letter/ or (animals/ not humans/))

Discussie

Welke vormen van review zijn zo systematisch dat ze gevonden moeten worden?

(<https://guides.mclibrary.duke.edu/sysreview/types>)

Critical review	Aims to demonstrate writer has extensively researched literature and critically evaluated its quality. Goes beyond mere description to include degree of analysis and conceptual innovation. Typically results in hypothesis or model.
Literature review	Generic term: published materials that provide examination of recent or current literature. Can cover wide range of subjects at various levels of completeness and comprehensiveness. May include research findings.
Mapping review/systematic map	Map out and categorize existing literature from which to commission further reviews and/or primary research by identifying gaps in research literature.
Meta-analysis	Technique that statistically combines the results of quantitative studies to provide a more precise effect of the results.
Mixed studies review/mixed methods review	Refers to any combination of methods where one significant component is a literature review (usually systematic). Within a review context it refers to a combination of review approaches for example combining quantitative with qualitative research or outcome with process studies.
Overview	Generic term: summary of the [medical] literature that attempts to survey the literature and describe its characteristics.
Qualitative systematic review/qualitative evidence synthesis	Method for integrating or comparing the findings from qualitative studies. It looks for 'themes' or 'constructs' that lie in or across individual qualitative studies.

Rapid review	Assessment of what is already known about a policy or practice issue, by using systematic review methods to search and critically appraise existing research.
Scoping review	Preliminary assessment of potential size and scope of available research literature. Aims to identify nature and extent of research evidence (usually including ongoing research).
State-of-the-art review	Tend to address more current matters in contrast to other combined retrospective and current approaches. May offer new perspectives on issue or point out area for further research.
Systematic review	Seeks to systematically search for, appraise and synthesize research evidence, often adhering to guidelines on the conduct of a review.
Systematic search and review	Combines strengths of critical review with a comprehensive search process. Typically addresses broad questions to produce ‘best evidence synthesis’.
Systematized review-?	Attempt to include elements of systematic review process while stopping short of systematic review. Typically conducted as postgraduate student assignment.

Umbrella review	Specifically refers to review compiling evidence from multiple reviews into one accessible and usable document. Focuses on broad condition or problem for which there are competing interventions and highlights reviews that address these interventions and their results.

Integrative, integrated, comprehensive, research synthesis

Discussie

Hoe gaan we verder?

- Input verwerken en tot een definitieve beta-versie komen
 - Beta-versie in de praktijk testen op, in het ideale geval, een ‘gouden standaard’ verzameling van SRs
 - Beta-versie in de praktijk testen op onderwerpsvragen van richtlijnen en kijken naar sensitiviteit, specificiteit, precisie
 - Beta-versie omzetten naar andere databanken en deze testen.
-
- Ook de andere KIFMS filters (RCT, observationeel) met deze werkwijze updaten